

United States Patent and Trademark Office

W

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/694,653	10/23/2000	John Overman	5384/55100 3830		
7590 09/07/2006			EXAMINER		
KEITH E GEORGE, ESQ.			FOX, CHARLES A		
MCDERMOTT, WILL & EMERY 600 13TH STREET, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20005-3096			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3652 ·		
			DATE MAILED: 09/07/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/694,653	OVERMAN ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Charles A. Fox	3652	

Before the riling of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Charles A. Fox	3652	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 24 August 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A			
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notal Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliantime periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
 a)	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	'06.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exampler 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 dension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed.	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since
AMENDMENTS 3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ecause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	ensideration and/or search (see NO	TE below):	004400
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo		,,	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re		the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	od o		(DTOL 224)
The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		impliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)	⊠ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wi	II be entered and an e	explanation of
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	vided below or appended.		
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to: <u>24,32,34,60-63,66 and 68</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2,4-7,16,29-31,33,35,36,51-59,65,67</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>15,19-23,25-28 a</u>	and 69-71.		
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER E <u>VIDENCE</u>	110 07 00 .		
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affiday	vit or other evidence is	s necessary and
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attacl	ned.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)	
<u></u>	_	fdQ-	$\overline{}$
	Ell	LEEN D. LILLIS	3

EILEEN D. LILLIS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600